

McCollum	Pingree (ME)	Sires
McCotter	Pitts	Skelton
McDermott	Platts	Slaughter
McGovern	Poe (TX)	Smith (NE)
McHenry	Polis (CO)	Smith (NJ)
McIntyre	Pomeroy	Smith (TX)
McKeon	Posey	Smith (WA)
McMorris	Price (GA)	Snyder
Rodgers	Price (NC)	Souder
McNerney	Putnam	Space
Meek (FL)	Quigley	Speier
Meeks (NY)	Rahall	Spratt
Melancon	Rangel	Stark
Mica	Rehberg	Stearns
Michaud	Reichert	Stupak
Miller (FL)	Reyes	Sullivan
Miller (MI)	Richardson	Sutton
Miller (NC)	Rodriguez	Tanner
Miller, Gary	Roe (TN)	Taylor
Miller, George	Rogers (AL)	Teague
Minnick	Rogers (KY)	Thompson (CA)
Mitchell	Rogers (MI)	Thompson (MS)
Mollohan	Rohrabacher	Thompson (PA)
Moore (KS)	Rooney	Thornberry
Moore (WI)	Roskam	Tiahrt
Moran (KS)	Ross	Tiberi
Moran (VA)	Rothman (NJ)	Tierney
Murphy (CT)	Roybal-Allard	Titus
Murphy (NY)	Royce	Tonko
Murphy, Patrick	Ruppersberger	Towns
Murphy, Tim	Rush	Tsongas
Murtha	Ryan (OH)	Turner
Myrick	Ryan (WI)	Upton
Nadler (NY)	Salazar	Van Hollen
Napolitano	Sanchez, Loretta	Velázquez
Neal (MA)	Sarbanes	Visclosky
Neugebauer	Scalise	Walden
Nunes	Schakowsky	Walz
Nye	Schauer	Wasserman
Oberstar	Schiff	Schultz
Obey	Schmidt	Watson
Olson	Schock	Watt
Olver	Schrader	Waxman
Ortiz	Schwartz	Weiner
Owens	Scott (GA)	Welch
Pallone	Scott (VA)	Westmoreland
Pascarella	Sessions	Whitfield
Pastor (AZ)	Sestak	Wilson (OH)
Paulsen	Shadegg	Wilson (SC)
Payne	Shea-Porter	Wittman
Pence	Sherman	Wolf
Perlmutter	Shimkus	Woolsey
Perriello	Shuler	Wu
Peters	Shuster	Yarmuth
Peterson	Simpson	Young (FL)

## NAYS—10

Broun (GA)	Gohmert	Sánchez, Linda
Coble	Lummis	T.
Duncan	Paul	Sensenbrenner
Flake	Petri	

## NOT VOTING—26

Abercrombie	Crenshaw	McMahon
Barrett (SC)	Culberson	Radanovich
Bishop (GA)	Davis (AL)	Ros-Lehtinen
Bonner	Deal (GA)	Serrano
Boustany	Hensarling	Terry
Brady (PA)	Hinojosa	Wamp
Braley (IA)	Johnson, E. B.	Waters
Butterfield	Lewis (CA)	Young (AK)
Cleaver	McCauley	

## ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (during the vote). Members are advised 1 minute is remaining.

□ 1306

Mrs. BLACKBURN changed her vote from “nay” to “yea.”

So (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the Senate amendment was concurred in.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

# PRIVATE FIRST CLASS GARFIELD M. LANGHORN POST OFFICE BUILDING

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The unfinished business is the question on

suspending the rules and passing the bill, H.R. 3250.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Massachusetts (Mr. LYNCH) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 3250.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill was passed.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

## EARLY DETECTION MONTH FOR BREAST CANCER

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The unfinished business is the question on suspending the rules and agreeing to the concurrent resolution, H. Con. Res. 158, as amended.

The Clerk read the title of the concurrent resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Massachusetts (Mr. LYNCH) that the House suspend the rules and agree to the concurrent resolution, H. Con. Res. 158, as amended.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the concurrent resolution, as amended, was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

## LEGISLATIVE PROGRAM

(Mr. CANTOR asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. CANTOR. Madam Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from Maryland, the majority leader, for the purpose of announcing next week's schedule.

Mr. HOYER. I thank my friend, the Republican whip, for yielding.

On Monday the House is not in session.

On Tuesday the House will meet at 12:30 p.m. for morning-hour debate and 2 p.m. for legislative business.

On Wednesday the House will meet at 10 a.m. for legislative business and recess at approximately 5 p.m. to allow a security sweep of the House Chamber prior to the President's State of the Union address. The House will meet again at approximately 8:35 p.m. in a joint session with the Senate for the purpose of receiving an address from the President of the United States.

On Thursday and Friday the House is not in session to give time for the Republican Issues Conference to occur in Baltimore, Maryland.

We will consider several bills under suspension of the rules. A complete list of suspension bills will be announced by close of business tomorrow.

In addition, Madam Speaker, we will consider H.R. 3726, the Castle Nugent National Historic Site Establishment Act of 2009; and H.R. 4474, the Idaho

Wilderness Water Resources Protection Act, introduced by Mr. MINNICK and Mr. SIMPSON.

Mr. CANTOR. I thank the gentleman.

Madam Speaker, I would ask the gentleman if he can comment on some of the press reports that we have seen this morning about the Speaker's statement that this House and you will not be bringing to this House the Senate health care bill for consideration.

I yield to the gentleman.

Mr. HOYER. Well, I didn't see the Speaker's statement; so I can't comment specifically on it, but I can say this to the gentleman: As the gentleman knows, there are significant, critical differences between the House and Senate bills and we have been working on trying to bridge the differences that exist. We are still in that process.

Mr. CANTOR. I thank the gentleman. I would ask, Madam Speaker, and I would first preface the question by saying that this country saw a pretty extraordinary election in Massachusetts a few nights ago. From all reports, it seems that part of the outcome of that election was due to the health care bill and the difficulties which the gentleman's side has had in passing the bill. We on this side, Madam Speaker, would say there has been no bipartisan effort to pass a health care bill. And so if we are going to see a resolution of the differences that the gentleman refers to, those differences are clearly being on his side of the aisle because, Madam Speaker, we feel that we continue to be left out of the process.

So I would ask the gentleman if he has not decided whether he is bringing up the Senate bill or the House bill again, will we see the process start over? Will we see his side take the message from the Massachusetts election to involve Republicans in discussion over the health care bill and have a transparent process the way we believe ought to happen as well as I believe the American people think should happen?

I yield.

Mr. HOYER. Well, I thank the gentleman for his question and all of the premises he adopts in prefacing his question. I don't want my silence to be presumed as agreeing to his premises, which I think are inaccurate.

Having said that, first of all, of course, there has been extraordinary exposure of the health care bills, both in the House and Senate, to public discussion, public debate, public information. It has been online for over 4 months, 5 months now, and an extraordinary number of hearings held on it over the last 2 years. As the gentleman well knows, his party's candidate for President and my party's candidate for President, who is now President of the United States, both indicated that they thought health reform was necessary. So it received extensive debate by many other candidates as well during the course of the election.

The gentleman is well aware because Members on his side have talked about